

Program Unit or Department: Criminal Justice

Date: April 15, 2018

Contact Person: Randy Cronk

Phone Contact: x3702

Email Contact: rcronk@mvnu.edu

Student Learning Outcomes	Assessment Methodology	Target	Summary of Major Findings			Actions Taken to Improve Student Learning	Timeframe		
SLO 1: Knowledge Base: Demonstrate knowledge of the historical, legal, social, cultural, economic, and political	Method 1: MFT (Criminal Justice)	Findings Method 1: Eight students were in the S2018 cohort taking the criminal justice MFT. Mean scores on the seven indicators ranged from the 61 st to 98 th percentile. The overall score of 161 ranked at the 87 th percentile.				Method 1: None, target met. Method 2: None, target met.			
frameworks under	Method 2: Criminal Justice Comprehensive Exam. This exam is given to incoming students and again to seniors.	Graduating cohorts who took both exams will show an average gain of 25%.	See Appendix B. Findings Method 2:				-		
which justice systems operate, and the fundamental causes of			Year	N	Mean Pre-Test Score	Mean Post-Test Score	Percent Gain		
crime, methods of			2017	10	25.6	34.7	35.5%		
prevention, and			2016	8	25.62	37.13	44.9%		
responsibilities of all individuals involved in			2015	10	27.1	39	43.9%		
the apprehension,			2014	13	22.3	37.9	70.0%		
prosecution,			2013	13 13	22.38 26.38	37.76 40.6	68.7% 53.9%		
punishment, correction, and reintegration of			2012	15	20.30	10.0	33.370		
offenders.	Etc.							_	
SLO 2: Inquiry and Critical Thinking: Evaluate and apply research methods to explore criminal justice questions and hypotheses.	Method 1: Qualitative field observational project and report in CJU3113. Method 2: Quantitative project and	All students will receive a passing grade (70%) or higher on the research report. All students will receive a passing grade (70%)	Findings Method 1: Thirteen students completed the observation and written report. After formative activities with the students, all of them received a grade of over 70%, with a class average of 95.4%. Findings Method 2: All thirteen students received 100% on the survey development and data analysis portion of the project. All thirteen students received above 70% on the reports. The class average was 90.4%.				Method 1: None, target met. Method 2: None, target met.		
	report in CJU3113: Working in small groups, students developed surveys about various topics related to their field; then they gathered data and analyzed the results. The survey development and analysis involved out-of-class individual assignments along with in-class formative exercises. The students then had to write about their	or higher on the lab.							

		I	Τ	1
	findings in an individual research report.			
	Etc.		Etc.	1
SLO 3: Ethical and Social	Method 1:	All students will receive	Findings Method 1:	Method 1: None, target
Responsibility in a Diverse	Capstone paper in CJU4002 discussing how principles of	a passing grade (70%) or higher on the paper.	Ten students completed the paper. The overall class average for the paper was 100%.	met.
World: Illustrate ethical	restorative justice can be	or riighter on the paper.	a college for alle paper free 200 for	Method 2: None, target
standards informed by the	implemented in a future career.			met.
Christian faith to promote				
restorative justice for	Method 2: Pre- and Post- philosophy of	All students will receive a passing grade (70%) or higher on the paper.	Findings Method 2: Nine of 10 students completed the paper. The overall	
offenders, victims, criminal	treatment of offender		class average for the paper was 90%.	
justice professionals, and	papers in CJU4034.			
communities.	Etc.			
SLO 4: Communication:	Method 1:	All students will receive	Findings Method 1:	Method 1: None, target
Demonstrate effective oral	Three papers in CJU1001: legal brief, adult pre-	a passing grade (70%) or higher on the papers.	All nine students in the class completed the reports with an average score of 70% or higher. Average	met.
and written	sentence investigation, and		scores on the three reports were 92%, 98%, and 98%,	Method 2: None, target
communication skills in	juvenile predisposition reports.		respectively.	met.
creating a cogent				
argument, presenting	Method 2: Position paper on addiction	All students will receive a passing grade (70%)	Findings Method 2: Twenty-one of 22 students complete the paper. The	
information, integrating	and the Christian faith in	or higher on the	class average was 88.09%.	
criminal justice theories	CJU3103.	presentation.		
and concepts with the	Etc.			
Christian faith.				
SLO 5: Professional	Method 1:	All students will receive	Findings Method 1:	Method 1: None, target
Development: Apply	Pre- and Post- philosophy of treatment of offender	a passing grade (70%) or higher on the	Nine of 10 students completed the paper. The overall class average for the paper was 90%.	met.
criminal justice content	papers in CJU4034.	presentation.		Method 2: None, target
and skills, exhibit				met.
meaningful self-reflection,	Method 2:	All students will average	Findings Method 2:	1
cultivate self-regulation,	Eight to ten criminal justice application papers in	a passing grade (70%) or higher on the	All 23 students in the class completed 10 application papers with an average score of 70% or higher. The	
and build teamwork skills	CJU1003.	assignments.	overall class average for the reports 98%.	
that readies the student	Etc			-
for post-baccalaureate	Etc.	•		
education and				
employment success.				
				1

APPENDIX B Criminal Justice ETS Major Field Test: Comparison Table by Year

Year	No	Total Score (%ile)	AI 1 Score (%ile)	AI 2 Score (%ile)	AI 3 Score (%ile)	AI 4 Score (%ile)	AI 5 Score (%ile)	AI 6 Score (%ile)	AI 7 Score (%ile)
2018 MVNU	8	161	57	61	61	72	77	69	98
Mean		(87%)	(81%)	(81%)	(61%)	(93%)	(96%)	(93%)	(85%)
2017 MVNU	10	163	56	63	73	75	67 (67	62
Mean		(93%)	(77%)	(85%)	(98%)	(98%)	89%)	(84%)	(90%)
2016 MVNU	8	161	60	60	64	72	74	64	63
Mean		(91%)	(97%)	(82%)	(85%)	(99%)	(99%)	(91%)	(98%)
2015 MVNU	10	164	52	63	65	68	68	69	49
Mean		(95%)	(93%)	(91%)	(88%)	(97%)	(92%)	(97%)	(67%)
2014 MVNU	13	166	56	64	70	67	68	70	58
Mean		(96%)	(96%)	(94%)	(97%)	(96%)	(92%)	(99%)	(93%)
2013 MVNU	14	164	56	64	64	61	72	67	55
Mean		(95%)	(95%)	(93%)	(82%)	(88%)	(98%)	(95%)	(84%)
2012 MVNU	13	173	63	72	76	70	80	78	59
Mean		(99%)	(98%)	(99%)	(98%)	(98%)	(99%)	(99%)	(95%)
2011 MVNU	11	168	58	72	70	66	73	72	54
Mean		(95%)	(90%)	(95%)	(95%)	(95%)	(95%)	(95%)	(80%)
2010 MVNU	16	161	56	64	60	61	60	67	58
Mean		(85%)	(90%)	(80%)	(80%)	(90%)	(55%)	(95%)	(95%)
2009 MVNU Mean	13	146 (25%)	43 (35%)	41 (9%)	49 (30%)	46 (34%)	51 (24%)	50 (29%)	39 (25%)
2016 Nat'l Mean (76 Institutions)		149.7 (SD = 10)	47 (SD = 10)	52.9 (SD = 9.3)	57 (SD = 9.9)	58.3 (SD = 8.7)	56.5 (SD = 8.0)	57.6 (SD = 9.7)	48.1 (SD=10.1)

Assessment Indicator 1: Theory

Assessment Indicator 2: The Law

Assessment Indicator 3: Law Enforcement

Assessment Indicator 4: Corrections

Assessment Indicator 5: The Court System

Assessment Indicator 6: Critical Thinking
Assessment Indicator 7: Research Methodology and Statistics

